BOUNDS IN PIECEWISE LINEAR TOPOLOGY

BY

L. B. TREYBIG([1])

ABSTRACT. The following types of results are obtained: Given a poly-
hedral 2-sphere P with rectilinear triangulation T lying in the interior of a
solid tetrahedron G in E^3, then there is a simplicial isotopy $f: G \times [0, 1] \to$
G taking P onto a tetrahedron so that for t in $[0, 1]$, $f(x, t) = x$ on
Bd(G) and f_t is affine on each element of the triangulation S of G, where
card (S) is a known function of card (T). Also, given (1) P as above, (2) poly-
hedral disks D_1 and D_2, where Bd(D_1) = Bd $(D_2) \subset P$ and Int $(D_1) \cup$
Int $(D_2) \subset$ Int (P) and (3) a triangulation T of $D_1 \cup D_2 \cup P$, then analogous
results are found for a simplicial isotopy f which is fixed on P and takes D_1
onto D_2. Given G as above and a piecewise linear homeomorphism $h: G \to G$
which is fixed on Bd (G) and affine on each $r \in R$, then analogous bounds
are found for a simplicial isotopy $f: G \times [0, 1] \to G$ so that $f_0(x) = x$ and
$f_1(r) = h(r)$ for all r in R. In the second half of this paper the normal surface
and normal equation theory of Haken is briefly explained and extended slightly.
Bounds are found in connection with nontrivial integer entried solutions of
normal equations. Also bounds are found for the number of simplexes used in
triangulating normal surfaces associated with certain solutions of the extended
normal equations.

1. **Introduction.** The work contained in this paper has grown out of the
author's attempts to solve the following problem: Given two oriented polygonal
knots K_1, K_2 in regular position in E^3, show that if M is a solid tetrahedron
containing K_1, K_2 in its interior, and f is a piecewise linear homeomorphism
taking M onto M and K_1 onto K_2 such that (1) f is fixed on Bd (M),
and (2) the orientation of K_1 induces that of K_2, then there is a mapping g
such that (1) g has the same properties as f (2) g is affine on each simplex
of the triangulation T of M, and (3) card T is a known function of n_1, n_2
where n_i denotes the number of straight line intervals needed to build K_i. It is
evident that such a problem is analogous to a word problem in algebra. This
paper is designed to lay some of the needed foundations for attacking the problem.
Well-known results on simplicial isotopies [1], [4], [5] and various counting
lemmas developed by the author in the present paper and [9] are used to develop

various extensions and improvements of Theorem 1 of Moise [4] and the normal surface and normal equation theory of Haken [3] and Schubert [7].

Let $B(x, y)$ denote a function from a subset of the ordered pairs of non-negative integers into the positive integers defined by

(0) $B(x, 0) = 32^{z-1}60^z$, and

(1) $z = 12(2x - 4)(2x - 7)2^{2x-2}(2x + (2x - 4)(2x - 7)2^{2x-2})$,

(2) $B(x, y) = 32^2 B^3(3x - 2, y - 1)(32 \cdot 60)^{16(2x-5)+4B(3x-2,y-1)}$

for $4 \leqslant x$ and $1 \leqslant y$. In the present paper the proof of Theorem 1 of [4] is extended to prove

THEOREM 2. *Suppose* P *is a polyhedral 2-sphere which is a subset of the interior of the solid tetrahedron* G *in* E^3, *and that* T *is a triangulation of* P *whose elements are rectilinear. Then, there is a simplicial isotopy* $f: G \times [0, 1] \to G$ *such that* (1) $f(x, t) = x$ *if* $t = 0$ *or* $x \in \mathrm{Bd}(G)$, (2) $f(P, 1)$ *is a tetrahedron and* (3) *there is a rectilinear triangulation* S *of* G *such that*

(a) f *is affine on each* $s \in S$ *for each* $t \in S$ *for each* $t \in [0, 1]$,

(b) P *is a subset of the 2-skeleton of* S, *and*

(c) *if* n *denotes the number of* 0-*simplexes of* T *and* $n(S_3)$ *the number of* 3-*simplexes of* S, *then* $n(S_3) \leqslant B_1(n)$, *where* $B_1(n) = (n, [2(n - 2)^2/3])$.

An extension of Theorem 3 of Sanderson [5] which is a consequence of applying Theorem 2 is

THEOREM 3. *If* T *is a triangulation of the polyhedral 3-cell* K *in* E^3, *then there is a subdivision* T' *of* T *which can be shelled, where if* $n = n(T_0)$, *the number of* 0-*simplexes of* T, *then* $n(T_3') \leqslant 96(32)n^2(T_3)B_1(n)$.

Among the later theorems are (1) Theorem 6, which gives bounds in connection with extending a piecewise linear homeomorphism between two polyhedral 2-spheres to one also between their interiors, and (2) Theorem 7 which gives bounds in connection with realizing a piecewise linear homeomorphism of a solid tetrahedron onto itself which is fixed on the boundary as the final stage of a simplicial isotopy.

In §6 the normal surface theory of Haken [3] is explained in an abbreviated way. A much more complete description is also found in Schubert [7]. In §7 the normal equation theory of Haken [3] is explained and extended slightly. In §5 numerical bounds are found in connection with finding nontrivial integer entried solutions of the extended normal equations. In §8 bounds are found for the number of simplexes used in triangulating normal surfaces associated with certain solutions of the extended normal equations.

2. **Definitions.** All spaces considered are subsets of Euclidean 3-space E^3,

and all triangulations of such spaces will be locally finite and have closed simplexes which are rectilinear. A subset S of E^3 will be called a polyhedron, or be said to be polyhedral, if it has a rectilinear triangulation. A mapping $f: S \to T$ between polyhedra will be said to be piecewise linear (p.l.) if there is a recti-linear triangulation W of S such that f is affine on each $w \in W$. If f, $f': S \to T$ are p.l. homeomorphisms, then $K: S \times [0, 1] \to T$ is a p.l. isotopy between f and f' if (0) K is continuous, (1) $K(s, 0) = f(s)$ and $K(s, 1) = f'(s)$ for $s \in S$ and (2) for each $t \in [0, 1] K_t$ is a p.l. homeomorphism. Also, K will be said to be a simplicial isotopy if some fixed triangulation W of S can be found so that K_t is affine on each $w \in W$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$; and in this case, if n is a positive integer and $S = T$, the ordered pair (K, W) will be said to belong to $M(S, n)$ provided the number of 3-simplexes in W is no more than n, and $K(x, t) = x$ if $t = 0$ or $x \in$ Bd (S).

If T is a triangulation of a polyhedron S, let T_p ($p = 0, 1, 2$ or 3) de-note the collection of p-simplexes in T and let $n(T_p) = $ card (T_p). Also for any $T' \subset T$ let $|T'|$ denote the union of the elements of T'. Given $M \subset S$, let $\text{st}(M, T)$ denote the collection of all simplexes of T which contain M.

If M is an n-manifold with boundary, then Bd(M) will denote the set of all points of M which do not have a neighborhood in M homeomorphic to E^n. If M is an n-cell, then an n-cell $N \subset M$ will be said to be free in M provided $M = N$ or $N \cap$ Bd(M) is an $n - 1$ cell. If T is a cellular sub-division of the n-cell M then M can be shelled relative to the elements of T provided they can be labeled $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m$ so that if $1 \leqslant p \leqslant m$, then $\bigcup_{i=p}^{m} t_i$ is an n-cell in which t_p is free. (Such an order is called a shelling order.)

If $P \in E^3$ and $M \subset E^3$, then the cone over M from P (denoted by PM) is the union of all intervals Pm, where $m \in M$. Unless otherwise stated, interval means straight line interval, and solid tetrahedron means a tetrahedron plus its interior.

3. Two lemmas and another definition. In the remainder of this paper the following situation occurs frequently.

LEMMA 1. *In E^3 let X and Y be solid tetrahedra, where $X \subset$ Int (Y). Let f_1, f_2 be nonintersecting faces of X such that the sum of their dimensions is two, and let p_i be the barycenter of f_i ($i = 1, 2$). Let q_i ($i = 1, 2$) be points on the line $p_1 p_2$ in the order $q_1 p_1 p_2 q_2$ such that the set Z which is the union of the cones $q_i X (i = 1, 2)$ is a subset of $\text{Int}(Y)$. Then there exists $(f, T) \in M(Y, 60)$ such that (1) $f(x, t) = x$ if $t = 0$ or $x \in Y - \text{Int}(Z)$, and (2) $f(p_1, 1) = p_2$.*

PROOF. The transformation is defined as in Theorem 5 of Sanderson [5]. First define $f(p_1, t) = (1 - t)p_1 + tp_2$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, then define f so that if $z \in \mathrm{Bd}(Z)$ then f_t takes interval zp_1 linearly onto $zf_t(p_1)$, and finally define $f_t(x) = x$ for $x \in Y - \mathrm{Int}(Z)$.

Let Q denote a triangulation of $\mathrm{Bd}(Z)$ with a minimal number of 2-simplexes. Let C denote the union of all rays $q_1 z$ where $q_1 z \cap X = \{z\}$ and let C_1 and C_2, respectively, denote the two components of $\mathrm{Int}(Y) - (Z \cup C)$. Use of Lemma 2 of [9] gives triangulations T^i $(i = 1, 2)$ of $\mathrm{Bd}(\bar{C}_i)$ so that (1) T^i agrees with Q on $\mathrm{Bd}(Z)$ $(i = 1, 2)$, and (2) $n(T_2^i) \leqslant 26$. The triangulation T is defined so that if s is a 3-simplex of T, then s is of the form $p_1 w$ for $w \in Q_2$, or of the form $s_i w$, where s_i is a fixed element of C_i on line $p_1 p_2$ and w is an element of T_2^i lying in $\mathrm{Bd}(\bar{C}_i)$. Since Z contains at most 8 3-simplexes of T, the bound above is evident.

DEFINITION. Let a simplicial isotopy f defined as above be called an S-isotopy.

LEMMA 2. *Suppose X is a solid tetrahedron and $(f_i, T^i) \in M(X, m_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then the transformation $f = f_n f_{n-1} \cdots f_1$ defined by*

$$f(x, t) = f_q(f_{q-1}(\cdots f_2(f_1(x, 1), 1) \cdots 1), nt - (q - 1)) \quad \text{for } x \in X$$

and $(q - 1)/n \leqslant t \leqslant q/n$ has the property that there exists $(f, S) \in M(X, 32^{n-1} m_1 m_2 \ldots m_n)$.

PROOF FOR $n = 2$. By lemma 4 of [9] there is a subdivision S of T^1 such that $n(S_3) \leqslant 32 m_1 m_2$ and $\{f_1(s, 1) : s \in S\}$ is a refinement of T^2. Clearly (f, S) is the desired pair.

4. The proofs of the theorems.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose D is a polyhedral 2-cell with triangulation T and that $t \in T_2$ such that (1) $t \neq D$ and (2) there is s 0-simplex Q of t in $\mathrm{Bd}(D)$. Then, if $\epsilon > 0$ there is a one-to-one function $f : T_0 \to E^1$ such that (1) $x \in T_0$ implies $\epsilon > f(x) \geqslant 0$, but $f(Q) = 0$, (2) if $v \in T_0 \cap \mathrm{Bd}(D)$ and $v \neq Q$, then there exists $vw \in T_1$ such that $f(w) < f(v)$, and (3) if $v \in T_0 \cap \mathrm{Int}(D)$, then there exist elements vw and vu of T_1 such that $f(u) < f(v) < f(w)$.*

PROOF. The proof is by induction $n = n(T_2)$.

Case 1: $D = |\mathrm{st}(Q, T)|$ or $n = 2$. In this case let the simple closed curve $\mathrm{Bd}(D)$ be denoted by $Qv_1 v_2 \ldots v_{n+1} Q$ where $v_i \in T_0$, $i = 1, \ldots, n + 1$. Define f so that $0 = f(Q) < f(v_1) < \ldots < f(v_{n+1}) < \epsilon$. Clearly, f satisfies the conclusion of the theorem.

Case 2: $D \neq |\mathrm{st}(Q, T)|$. Let n be such that if D' satisfies the hypothesis

of the theorem for a triangulation having no more than $n - 1$ 2-simplexes, and the simple closed curve $\mathrm{Bd}(D')$ is labeled $Qv_1v_2\cdots v_jQ$, and a function g is defined such that $0 = g(Q) < g(v_1) < \ldots < g(v_j) < \epsilon$, then g may be extended to a function f satisfying the conclusion of the theorem. By Lemma 3 of Sanderson [5] there exist $w \in T_2$ such that $Q \notin w$ and w is free in D. Let $w = abc$.

Suppose $w \cap \mathrm{Bd}(D) = ab$. Let the simple closed curve $\mathrm{Bd}(D)$ be labeled $Qv_1 \ldots ab \ldots v_jQ$ and let g map these 0-simplexes into E^1 such that $0 = g(Q) < g(v_1) < \ldots < g(v_j) < \epsilon$. Extend the domain of g to c so that $g(a) < g(c) < g(b)$. By the induction hypothesis there is an extension of g to a function f satisfying the conclusion of the theorem for T restricted to $\mathrm{Cl}(D - w)$. However, f is the desired function since $f(a) < f(c) < f(b)$.

Suppose $w \cap \mathrm{Bd}(D) = ca \cup ba$. Let $\mathrm{Bd}(D)$ be labeled $Qv_1 \ldots cab \ldots v_jQ$ and suppose g maps these 0-simplexes into E^1 so that $0 = g(Q) < g(v_1) < \ldots < g(v_j) < \epsilon$. The restriction of g to $T_0 - \{a\}$ can be extended to a function h satisfying the conclusion of the theorem for $\mathrm{Cl}(D - w)$, where h is modified slightly so that $h(x) \neq g(a)$ if $x \in T_0 - \{a\}$. The required function f is defined by $f(x) = h(x)$ if $x \in T_0 - \{a\}$ and $f(a) = g(a)$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. In portions of the following the proof of Theorem 1 of [4] is followed closely. In E^3 a family $L(u)$ of planes, all normal to a given unit vector u, is called admissible if the 0-simplexes of T lie in different planes of $L(u)$. A *typical plane* L of $L(u)$ will normally intersect P in the union of a finite collection of disjoint simple closed polygons, but an *exceptional plane* of $L(u)$ will intersect P in either (1) the union of an isolated point Q and a finite collection of disjoint simple closed polygons, or (2) $n_P(L)$ simple closed polygons, $k + 1$ of which $(1 \leqslant k < n_P(L))$ have in common a singular point Q, the polygons being otherwise disjoint. Since the isolated and singular points are 0-simplexes of T, there are only a finite number of exeptional planes in $L(u)$. Define $n_P(u)$ to be $\Sigma\, n_P(L)$, the summation being over planes of $L(u)$ containing singular points. The admissible $L(u)$'s are determined by the u's on the unit sphere S^2 which lie in the complement C of the union of a certain finite collection of great circles. Thus, C is the union of a finite collection of connected open subsets of S^2, where if u, u' belong to the same component of C, then $n_P(u) = n_P(u')$. Let $s = \min \{n_P(u), u \in C\}$. The proof of the theorem is by double induction on s and $n = n(T_0)$.

In case $s = 0$, the minimum for n is 4, so the triangulation S may be chosen such that $s(S_3) \leqslant 13$. Now suppose the theorem holds for all cases (n', s') where $1 \leqslant n' \leqslant n - 1$ and $s' = 0$, and let u be such that $n_P(u) = 0$. Let W denote the set of all planes in E^3 which contain a 2-simplex of T, and let $K = \{k : k = \overline{w}$, where w is a component of $E^3 - \bigcup W$ lying in $\mathrm{Int}\, P\}$.

Each $k \in K$ is a convex 3-cell with at most $q = n(T_2)$ flat faces F, and each such F is a convex 2-cell bounded by the union of no more than $q - 1$ straight line intervals.

Now let X be a triangulation of $P \cup \text{Int}\, P$ formed as follows: If F is a flat face of a k above, and F is bounded by the union of j straight line intervals (but no fewer), then triangulate F into $j - 2$ 2-cells, and then after triangulating all such F's, triangulate each k radially from some interior point of k (see Theorem 2 of [5]) so that the new 3-simplexes are cones over the new 2-simplexes in the F's above.

Now let u' be a close approximation of u lying in the same component of C, where no plane of $L(u')$ contains two 0-simplexes of $T \cup X$. There are two exceptional planes L' and L'' of $L(u')$, each containing only one point of P, and every plane of $L(u')$ between L' and L'' intersects P in a simple closed polygon. Let $L' = L_0, L_1, \ldots, L_j = L''$ be a sequence of planes of $L(u')$ arranged in their natural order so that (1) for each $i = 1, \ldots, j$ exactly one of L_i and L_{i-1} contains a 0-simplex of $T \cup X$, and (2) $T_0 \cup X_0 \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^{j} L_i$. Let $Y = \{\bar{c} : c \text{ is a component of } x - \bigcup_{i=0}^{j} L_i \text{ for some } x \in X_3\}$. Sanderson's proof of Theorem 2 [5] suffices to show how to find a shelling order of $P \cup \text{Int}\, P$ relative to the cellular subdivision Y. A triangulation Z of $P \cup \text{Int}\, P$ is now constructed by subdividing each element z of Z into no more than 12 3-simplexes, after first triangulating all flat faces of such z's with a minimal number of 2-simplexes. The shelling order of Y is then used as in Sanderson [5] to help induce a shelling $m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_z$ of $P \cup \text{Int}\, P$ relative to Z_3.

A simple calculation using the Euler characteristic yields $q = n(T_2) = 2(n - 2)$. The following inequalities may now be established.

(3) $n(X_3) \leqslant q(q - 3)2^q$,

(4) $n(X_0) \leqslant q(q - 3)2^{q+2}$,

(5) $j \leqslant 2(n(T_0) + n(X_0))$,

(6) $n(Y_3) \leqslant q(q - 3)2^q (2n + q(q - 3)2^{q+2})$,

(7) $n(Z_3) = z \leqslant 12\, n(Y_3)$.

For each i $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant z - 1)$ there exists $(f_i, S^i) \in M(G, 60)$ such that (1) f_i is an S-isotopy, and (2) $f_i(\bigcup_{p=i}^{z} m_p, 1) = \bigcup_{p=i+1}^{z} m_p$. Lemma 2 is applied to yield $(f, S) \in M(G, B(n, 0))$ where $f = f_{z-1} f_{z-2} \cdots f_1$.

Now suppose the bound $B(n', t)$ has been established for all cases where $0 \leqslant t \leqslant s - 1$. Again using Moise's proof as a guide, let u be a unit vector such that $n_P(u) = S$. Let L be a singular plane of $L(u)$ and let Q be a singular point of P in L. Select a simple closed polygon J of $P \cap L$ which bounds a disk D in L, where $\text{Int}\,(D)$ and P are disjoint. J may or may not contain Q, but the proof is analogous if it does not. J divides P into two disks D_1 and D_2, so let $P_i = D \cup D_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

Let the simple closed curve $\mathrm{Bd}(D)$ be denoted by $a_1 a_2 \ldots a_j a_1$, where $a_1 = Q$ if $Q \in \mathrm{Bd}(D)$, and where the a_i's are the intersections of D with elements of T_1. If $\mathrm{Bd}(D)$ is a triangle, no further constructions are needed at this stage, so suppose $\mathrm{Bd}(D)$ is not a triangle.

Let a_{j+1} be a point of $\mathrm{Int}(D)$ such that angle $a_2 a_1 a_{j+1}$ is acute and $a_1 a_2 a_{j+1} \cap \mathrm{Bd}(D) = \delta_1 a_2$. Since $j \leqslant 2(n-2)$, by Lemma 2 of [9] there is a triangulation R of D so that $(0)\, a_1 a_2 a_{j+1} \in R_2$, (1) $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{j+1}\} = R_0$, and (2) $n(R_2) \leqslant 2(n-2)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that if $y \in L$ and vy is an interval which is a subset of a 1-simplex of T or perpendicular to L and the distance from v to L is ϵ, then the length of vy is less than 1/10 the distance between (a) any two planes of $L(u)$ containing 0-simplexes of T, and (b) any two a_i's.

Theorem 1 is now applied to find points $b_1, \ldots, b_{j+1}, c_1, \ldots, c_{j+1}$ such that (0) $c_1 = b_1 = a_1$, (1) the b_i's $(i > 1)$ lie on one side of L and the c_i's $(i > 1)$ on the other, (2) the distances from the b_i's to L satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 1 for the values of f, where $Q = a_1$; analogously for the c_i's, (3) if $a_i \in \mathrm{Int}(D)$ then interval $b_i c_i$ contains a_i and is normal to L, and (4) if $a_i \in \mathrm{Bd}(D)\ (i > 1)$ then $b_i c_i$ is a subset of the 1-simplex of T containing a_i. Given an element $s = a_p a_q a_r$ of R_2 define the polyhedral 3-cell $A(s)$ to be the set bounded

$$a_p a_q a_r \cup b_p b_q b_r \cup a_p b_p a_q \cup b_p b_q a_q \cup a_r a_q b_r \cup a_q b_r b_q \cup b_p a_p a_r$$
$$\cup\, a_r b_p b_r, \quad \text{where } d(a_q, b_q) < d(a_r, b_r) < d(a_p, b_p),$$

and define $B(s)$ analogously using the a_i's and c_i's.

By Lemma 3 of [5] the elements of $R_2 - \{a_1 a_2 a_{j+1}\}$ can be shelled from D in some order $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_v$, and the 3-cells $A(r_1), \ldots, A(r_v)$, $B(r_1), \ldots, B(r_v)$ can be shelled from $P \cup \mathrm{Int} P$ in that order. If each $A(r_i)$ and $B(r_i)$ is triangulated so that the 3-simplexes are formed in each case by starring from some interior point over the triangular disks used to form the boundary, then the shelling order above may be used (see Theorem 2 of [5]) to shell the new tetrahedra from $P \cup \mathrm{Int} P$. Therefore there exist $(m_i, R^i) \in M(G, 60)$, $i = 1, \ldots, z$, such that (1) each m_i is an S-isotopy, (2) $z \leqslant 16V$, and (3) $m_z \ldots m_1(P, 1) = P'$, where $P' = \mathrm{Bd}(\mathrm{Int} P - \bigcup_{i=1}^{v} (A(r_i) \cup B(r_i)))$. Also, P' has a triangulation T' such that $T'_0 = (P' \cap P \cap T_0) \cup \{b_1, \ldots, b_{j+1}, c_1, \ldots, c_{j+1}\}$, and the point u yields an admissible family for P' and has an associated integer pair (n', s'), where $n' \leqslant 3n - 2$ and $s' \leqslant s$.

The disk $a_1 a_2 a_{j+1}$ divides P' into two open polyhedral 2-cells, U_1 and U_2, so let P'_i denote $a_1 a_2 a_{j+1} \cup U_i\ (i = 1, 2)$. The situation Moise develops at this stage in his proof of Theorem 1 [3] now holds, so it may be seen that

P_i' $(i = 1, 2)$ satisfies the induction hypothesis for the case (n', s') where $n' \leqslant 3n - 2$ and $s' \leqslant s - 1$. The use of Theorem 1 is to avoid the addition of new singular points. Since one of P_1' and P_2' is not contained in the 3-cell bounded by the other, suppose $P_2' \not\subset P_1' \cup \text{Int} P_1'$.

By the induction hypothesis there exists $(f_i, S^i) \in M(G, B(3n - 2, s - 1))$ $(i = 1, 2)$ such that $f_i(P_i', 1)$ is a tetrahedron. The number y of 2-simplexes of S^1 on P_1' satisfies $y \leqslant 4n(S_3^1)$. By Lemma 3 of [4] there is an ordering $A_1, \ldots, A_y$ of $\{f_1(s, 1): s$ is a 2-simplex of S_1 on $P_1'\}$ such that (1) $A_2, \ldots, A_y$ is a shelling of $\text{Cl}(f_1(P_1', 1) - A_1)$, and (2) A_i which are subsets of $f_1(a_1 a_2 a_{j+i}, 1)$ are shelled last (A_1 is not among these). Let $V_i = \text{Int} f_1(P_i', 1)$ $(i = 1, 2)$, let $x \in V_1$, and let B_i be the solid tetrahedron XA_i $(i = 1, \ldots, y)$. There exist $(g_i, T^i) \in M(G, 60)$ $(i = 1, \ldots, y)$ such that (1) each g_i is an S-isotopy, and (2) $g_i(\overline{V_2} \cup \bigcup_{q=1}^{y} B_q, 1) = \overline{V_2} \cup \bigcup_{q=i+1}^{y} B_q$ for $i = 1, \ldots, y$.

Lemma 2 is now applied to $f = f_2 f_1^{-1} g_y \ldots g_1 f_1 m_z \ldots m_1$ to obtain a pair $(f, S) \in M(G, 32^2(32 \cdot 60)^{y+z} B^3(3n - 2, s - 1))$ where $y \leqslant 4B(3n - 2, s - 1)$ and $z \leqslant 16(2n - 5)$. A simple calculation using the Euler characteristic shows that $n_P(u) \leqslant 2/3 (n - 2)^2$. Therefore $n(S_3) \leqslant B_1(n)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Let X be a solid tetrahedron such that $K \subset \text{Int}(X)$. By Theorem 2 there is a pair $(f, S) \in M(X, B_1(n))$ such that $f(K, 1)$ is a solid tetrahedron. By Lemma 4 of [9] there is a common refinement R of T, and S restricted to K such that $n(R_3) \leqslant 32n(T_3)n(S_3)$. Sanderson's proof in Theorem 2 of [4] may be used to find a refinement W of $\{f(r, 1): r \in R\}$ which can be shelled, where $n(W_3) \leqslant 96n^2(R_3)$. The desired triangulation of K is $\{f_1^{-1}(w): w \in W\}$.

THEOREM 4. *Suppose Q is a polyhedral 2-sphere in E^3 and P_i $(i = 1, 2)$ is a polyhedral disk such that*

    (0) $\text{Bd}(P_1) = \text{Bd}(P_2) \subset Q$,

    (1) $P_1 \cap P_2 = \text{Bd}(P_1)$,

    (2) $\text{Int}(P_1) \cup \text{Int}(P_2) \subset \text{Int}(Q)$ *(bounded complementary domain), and*

    (3) *T is a triangulation of $P_1 \cup P_2 \cup Q$.*

Then, there exists $(f, S) \in M(Q \cup \text{Int}(Q), 32^{x-1} 60^x)$ such that

    (1) $P_1 \cup P_2 \subset |S_2|$,

    (2) $f(P_1, 1) = P_2$, *and*

    (3) $96((32(60))^{16B_1(n)} B_1(n))^2 = x$ *and* $n = n(T_0)$.

Furthermore, if AX_iB $(i = 1, 2)$ is an arc such that $AX_iB \subset P_i \cap |T_1|$ and $AX_iB \cap Q = \{A, B\}$, then there exists $(f, S) \in M(Q \cup \text{Int}(Q), 32^{x+y-1} 60^{x+y})$ such that (1) and (2) above hold, (3) $f(AX_1B, 1) = AX_2B$, and $f(X_1, 1) = X_2$, and

(4) $N_1 = 28(J + 1)(16J + 12)$, $J = 2^5 5^3 n^2 (S_3)(n - 1)^2$ *and* $y = (J - 1)(2N_1 + 10) + 9/2J + 6JN_1$.

PROOF. Let X be a solid tetrahedron such that $Q \subset \text{Int}(X)$. By Theorem 2 there exists $(h, R) \in M(X, B_1(n))$ such that (1) $h(P_1 \cup P_2, 1)$ is the boundary of a solid tetrahedron $abcd$, and (2) $P_1 \cup P_2 \subset |R_2|$.

Let $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_w$ denote an ordering of $\{h(r, 1): r \in R_2 \text{ and } r \subset P_1 \cup P_2\}$ such that (1) $r_1 \subset h(P_1, 1)$ but the face of $abcd$ which contains r_1 does not contain $h(P_2, 1)$, and (2) $r_2, \ldots, r_w$ is a shelling of $\bigcup_{i=2}^w r_i$. Suppose, for example, that $\text{Int}(abc)$ intersects $h(P_2, 1)$ but not r_1. Let p denote the largest integer t such that $\bigcup_{i=t}^w r_i$ contains $abc \cup h(P_2, 1)$, let $r_{m_1}, \ldots, r_{m_j}$ denote a shelling of $\text{Cl}(\bigcup_{i=p}^w r_i - h(P_2, 1))$ from $\bigcup_{i=p}^r r_i$, and let $r_{n_1}, \ldots, r_{n_k}$ denote a shelling of $\text{Cl}(\bigcup_{i=p}^w r_i - abc)$ from $\bigcup_{i=p}^w r_i$. There exist elements (g_i, R^i) of $M(X, 32(60)^2)$, $i = 1, \ldots, j + k$, such that

(1) $g_i(abcd, 1) = abcd$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant j + k$,

(2) $g_{s-1}((\bigcup_{i=s}^j r_{m_i}) \cup h(P_2, 1), 1) = (\bigcup_{i=s-1}^j r_{m_i}) \cup h(P_2, 1)$, $1 < s \leqslant j + 1$,

(3) $g_{s+j}((\bigcup_{i=s}^k r_{n_i}) \cup abc, 1) = (\bigcup_{i=s+1}^k r_{n_i}) \cup abc$, $1 \leqslant s \leqslant k$, and

(4) each g_i is an S-isotopy or the "composition" of two such, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant j + k$.

By Lemma 2 there exists $(g, V) \in M(X, (32 \cdot 60)^{16B_1(n)} B_1(n))$ such that $g(P_1 \cup P_2, 1) = \text{Bd}(abcd)$ and $g(P_2, 1) = abc$, where $g = g_{j+k} g_{j+k-1} \cdots g_{j+1} g_1 g_2 \cdots g_j h$. The techniques of Sanderson in Theorems 2 and 4 of [5] are now applied to find a refinement U of $V' = \{g(v, 1): v \in V \text{ and } g(v, 1) \subset abcd\}$ whose 3-simplexes can be shelled from $\text{Cl}(g(\text{Int}(P_1 \cup P_3)), 1)$, where P_3 is the closure of the component C of $Q - \text{Bd}(P_1)$ which is separated from $\text{Int}(P_1)$ by $\text{Int}(P_2)$ in $\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(Q)) - \text{Bd}(P_1)$, and where $n(U_3) \leqslant 96n^2(V_3)$. Let $u_1, \ldots, u_x$ denote such a shelling and let $f_1, \ldots, f_x$ denote S-isotopies such that there exist elements (f_i, Z^i) of $M(X, 60)$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant x$, such that

$$f_i\left(\bigcup_{s=i}^x g^{-1}(u_s, 1) \cup \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(P_2 \cup P_3)), 1\right)$$

$$= \bigcup_{s=i+1}^x g^{-1}(u_s, 1) \cup \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(P_2 \cup P_3))$$

and $f_i(y, t) = y$ if $y \in P_3$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant x$. By Lemma 2 there is a pair $(f, S) \in M(X, 32^{x-1} 60^x)$, where $f = f_x f_{x-1} \cdots f_1$. The function f satisfies the conclusions of the first part of the theorem.

By Lemma 4 of [9] there is a triangulation E^i of P_i $(i = 1, 2)$ such that (1) $AX_i B \subset |E_1^i|$, (2) each simplex of E^i is a subset of a simplex of S, and (3) $n(E_2^i) \leqslant 5 \cdot n(T_2) 4n(S_3)$. Likewise there is a common refinement E of E^2

and $\{f(e, 1): e \in E^1\}$ such that $n(E_2) \leqslant 5n(E_2^1)n(E_2^2)$.

The idea is to now move $f(AX_1B, 1)$ onto AX_2B by means of a "composition" of S-isotopies so as to move $f(X_1, 1)$ onto X_2. It is straightforward to show the existence of arcs $Y_1 = f(AX_1B, 1), Y_2, \ldots, Y_J = AX_2B$ such that (1) $J \leqslant 2n(E_2)$, (2) $Y_i \subset |E_1|$, $Y_i \cap \mathrm{Bd}(P_2) = \{A, B\}$, and Y_i is an arc from A to B, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant J$, and (3) there is a sequence $F_1, \ldots, F_{J-1}$ of elements of E_2 such that $Y_i - F_i = Y_{i+1} - F_i$ and $\mathrm{Bd}(F_i) \subset Y_i \cup Y_{i+1}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant J - 1$. Consider some fixed i, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant J - 1$, and let $F_i = abc$.

Case 1. Suppose $\dot{Y}_i \cap F_i = ab$ and $Y_{i+1} \cap F_i = ac \cup bc$. There exist elements abd, acd, and bcd_2 of E_2, all distinct from F_i. Let $e = \frac{1}{2}(a + b)$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that the solid ball of radius ϵ centered at c is a subset of $\mathrm{Int}(Q)$ and intersects no simplex of $E - \mathrm{st}(c, E)$. Let G denote the set of all triangular disks D such that there is a 2-simplex $a'b'c$ in $\mathrm{st}(c, E)$ such that $D = a''b''c$, where $a''c \subset a'c$ and is of length ϵ, and $b''c \subset b'c$ and is of length ϵ. Let e_1, e_2 denote points of line ec in the order e_1ee_2c, where $e_1abd \cap (P_2 \cup Q) = abd$, and $e_2 \in \mathrm{Int}(a_1b_1c)$, where $a_1 \in ac, b_1 \in bc$, and $a_1b_1c \in G$. Let $e_1' = 1/3(a + b + d)$.

There exist pairs $(w_i, W^i), 1, 2, \ldots, 9$, such that

(0) $(w_i, W^i) \in M(X, 60)$ and w_i is an S-isotopy $(1, 2, 4, 5, \ldots, 8)$,

(1) $w_i(x, t) = x$ if $x \in \mathrm{Ext}(Q)$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, 9)$,

(2) w_1 moves $be_1'a$ affinely to be_1a and is fixed on $P_2 - \mathrm{Int}(abd)$,

(3) w_2 moves e to e_2 and moves ae_1e, be_1e, ace, and bce affinely to ae_1e_2, be_1e_2, ace_2, and bce_2, respectively, and is fixed on $P_2 - \mathrm{Int}(abe_1 \cup abc)$,

(4) w_3 is a "composition" of no more than $N_1 = 28(n(E_2) + 1)$ $\cdot (16n(E_2) + 12)$ S-isotopies such that (a) $w_3(|G|, 1)$ is a subset of plane abc and $w_3(x, t) = x$ if $x \in \mathrm{disk}\, abc$, (b) w_3 is affine on each $g \in G$ for each t in $[0, 1]$ (see Theorem 1 of [9]), and (c) $w_3(x, t) = x$ if $x \in P_2 - |\dot{\mathrm{st}}(c, E)|$,

(5) w_4 moves e_2 to c and moves $a_2e_3e_2$ and $b_2e_3e_2$ affinely onto a_2e_3c and b_2e_3c, respectively, (where $a_2 = a_1b_1 \cap ae_2, e_3 = a_1b_1 \cap ec$, and $b_2 = a_1b_1 \cap be_2$) and $w_4(x, t) = x$ for $x \in w_3(P_2 - |G|, 1)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

(6) w_5 and w_6 play a similar role to w_1, w_2 in that w_5 moves $1/3(c + b + d_2)$ to a point e_4 on line $b_2(\frac{1}{2}(b + c))$ close to $\frac{1}{2}(b + c)$, and moves no point of $P_2 - \mathrm{Int}(cbd_2)$, and w_6 moves b_2 to $\frac{1}{2}(b + c)$ so as to move cb_2 and bb_2 affinely onto $c\frac{1}{2}(b + c)$ and $b\frac{1}{2}(b + c)$, respectively, and moves no point of $P_2 - \mathrm{Int}(ceb \cap cbe_4)$, and w_7 and w_8 play a similar role with regard to cd_1a, a_2, and $\frac{1}{2}(a + c)$. The transformation

$$w = w_7^{-1}w_8w_7w_5^{-1}w_6w_5w_3^{-1}w_4w_3w_1^{-1}w_2w_1$$

is such that (1) $w(x, t) = x$ if $x \in \mathrm{Ext}(Q)$ or $t = 0$, (2) $w(Y_i, 1) = Y_{i+1}$, (3)

$w(P_2, t) = P_2$ if $t = 0$ or 1, and (4) w is a "composition" of $10 + 2N_1$ S-isotopies, where the isotopies which compose to form w_3 also satisfy condition (0) above.

Case 2: $Y_i \cap F_i = ac \cup bc$. The transformation defined here is simply the inverse of a transformation of the type used in Case 1.

After applying $J - 1$ transformations of the types above to obtain a transformation u which moves Y_1 onto Y_J, the remainder of the argument involves moving $X_1' = u(f(X_1, 1), 1)$ down Y_J onto X_2. Briefly, the idea is to move X_1 down the appropriate 1-simplex close to the next 0-simplex, and then apply a transformation of type w_3 above which "flattens" a small star neighborhood. Then X_1 is moved across the 0-simplex into the next open 1-simplex with the aid of at most two S-isotopies, where at the "turn" X_1 might have to be moved off the track with the first isotopy and back on with the next. The star neighborhood is then returned to its original position with the inverse of the "flattening" map. Since there are no more then $3J/2$ p-simplexes ($p = 0, 1$) of E on Y_2, then the number of S-isotopies used to move X_1' to X_2 with a transformation v need be no more than $3(3J/2) + 2(3J/2)(2N_1)$. The desired transformation is vuf.

THEOREM 4'. *If the two bounds stated in Theorem 4 are denoted by* $b_1(n)$ *and* $b_2(n)$, *respectively, and hypothesis* (1) *is omitted, then* $b_1(n)$ *may be replaced by* $32b_1^2(w)$ *and* $b_2(n)$ *by* $32b_1(w)b_2(20wb_1(w))$, *where* $w = 2 \cdot 6^2 \cdot 21 \cdot n(n-1)^2$.

PROOF. There is a polyhedral 2 cell P_3 with triangulation R so that (1) P_3 is "close" to one of the components of $Q - \text{Bd}(P_1)$, (2) $\text{Int}(P_3) \subset \text{Int}Q$, (3) $P_3 \cap P_i = \text{Bd}(P_1)$ ($i = 1, 2$), (4) R and T agree on $\text{Bd}(P_1)$ and (5) the number of 0-simplexes of $R \cup T$ on $(P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P_3 \cup Q) - \text{Int}(P_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) is $\leqslant w$. Now apply Theorem 4 twice and then Lemma 2.

THEOREM 5. *Suppose* P *is a polyhedral 2-sphere in* E^3, $g = abcd$ *is a solid tetrahedron, and* $f : \text{Bd}(g) \to P$ *is a p.l. homeomorphism that is affine on each element of the triangulation* T *of* $\text{Bd}(g)$. *Then, there is an onto p.l. homeomorphism* $h : g \to P \cup \text{Int}(P)$ *and a triangulation* S *of* g *such that* (1) $f(x) = h(x)$ *if* $x \in \text{Bd}(g)$, (2) h *is affine on each* $s \in S$, *and* (3) $n(S_3) \leqslant 5 \cdot 32 \cdot 4^2(n-1)^2 B_1(n)$, *where* $n = n(T_0)$.

PROOF. By Theorem 2 there is an onto p.l. homeomorphism $f_1 : g \to P \cup \text{Int}(P)$ and a triangulation W of g so that (1) f_1 is affine on each $w \in W$ and (2) $n(W_3) \leqslant B_1(n)$. Let W_2' denote the restriction of W_2 to $\text{Bd}(g)$. Then $n(W_2') \leqslant 4n(W_3)$. By Lemma 4 of [9] there is a refinement Q of T such that $f_1^{-1}f : \text{Bd}(g) \to \text{Bd}(g)$ is affine on each $q \in Q$ and $n(Q_2) \leqslant 5n(T_2)n(W_2')$. Let $x \in \text{Int}(g)$ and let Q' denote the triangulation of g whose 3-simplexes are of the form xq, where

$q \in Q_2$. Let $h: g \to P$ be defined such that if $xq \in Q'_3$, then $h(xq) = f_1(xf_1^{-1}f(q))$. By Lemma 4 of [9] there is a common refinement S of W and Q' such that $n(S_3) \leqslant 32n(W_3)n(Q'_3)$. Clearly, h is affine on each $s \in S$, and if $s \in S$ and $s \subset \text{Bd}(g)$, then $h(s) = f_1 f_1^{-1} f(s) = f(s)$.

THEOREM 6. *Suppose P and Q are polyhedral 2-spheres and $f: P \to Q$ is an onto p.l. homeomorphism which is affine on each simplex of the triangulation T of P. Then, there is triangulation S of $P \cup \text{Int}(P)$ and an onto p.l. homeomorphism $g: P \cup \text{Int}(P) \to Q \cup \text{Int}(Q)$ such that g is affine on each $s \in S$, $g(x) = f(x)$ if $x \in P$, and*

$$n(S_3) \leqslant 32^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 4^4 B_1((u-1)^2) B_1((v-1)^2)(u^2 - 2u)^2 (v^2 - 2v)^2$$

where $u = 5(4B_1(n))(n-1)^2$, $v = 4B_1(n)$, and $n = n(T_0)$.

PROOF. By Theorem 2 there is an onto p.l. homeomorphism $g: \text{Bd}(abcd) \to Q$ such that (1) $abcd$ is a solid tetrahedron and (2) g is affine on each simplex w of a triangulation W of $\text{Bd}(g)$, where $n(W_2) \leqslant 4B_1(n)$. Theorem 5 is now applied to $fg^{-1}: \text{Bd}(abcd) \to P$ and $g: \text{Bd}(abcd) \to Q$ to find extensions F and G, respectively. The required map is GF^{-1}.

THEOREM 7. *If X is a solid tetrahedron and $f: X \to X$ is an onto p.l. homeomorphism which is affine on each simplex of the triangulation T of X, then a bound $b_3(n)$ may be stated such that there is an element (g, S) of $M(X, b_3(n))$ such that (1) $n = n(T_0)$, and (2) $g(r, 1) = f(r)$ for each $r \in T$ if $f(x) = x$ on $\text{Bd}(X)$.*

PROOF. First apply Theorem 3 to find a subdivision R of T whose 3-simplexes can be given a shelling order $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_j$. Then Theorem $4'$ is used (the second part of the proof may need to be used twice) to pull r_1 onto $f(f_1)$ with a simplical isotopy u_1 so that if t is an i-simplex $(i = 0, 1)$ on $\text{Bd}(r_1)$ then $w_1(t, 1) = f(t)$. Likewise Theorem $4'$ is used to define u_2 which pulls $w_1(r_2, 1)$ onto $f(r_2)$ in such a way that 0 and 1 simplexes are put in place and u_2 is fixed on $\text{Bd}(X) \cup f(r_1)$. After $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{i-1}$ have been defined in this way, an application of Lemma 2 completes the proof.

5. Bounds for solutions of certain matrix equations.
Let Z denote the integers, let Z' denote the nonnegative integers and let Z^+ denote the positive integers. Given a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ with real entries let $\|A\| = \sup\{|a_{ij}|\}$.

THEOREM 8. *Suppose $A = (a_{ij})$ is an m by n matrix, $B = (b_i)$ is an m by 1 matrix, where each entry of A, B is in Z and $\|A\| > 0$. Let $b = \sup\{\|A\|, \|B\|\}$ and let $c_{ij} \in Z$ for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$. Let $N: Z^+ \times Z^+ \times Z^+ \to Z^+$ be a function such that*

(a) $N(i, 1, k) = k$ *and* $N(1, j, k) \geqslant N(1, j-1, k+k^2)$ *for* $i \geqslant 1$ *and* $j \geqslant 2$, *and*

(b) *if* $i > 1$ *and* $j > 1$ *then*

$N(i, j, k) \geqslant$

   $\sup \{G = \sup \{N(i, j - 1, k), (1 + kN^{i-1}(i - 1, j - 1, 2k^2))N(i - 1, j - 1, 2k^2),$

   $(1 + jk)(jkN(i - 1, j - 1, 2k^2)) + k,$

   $N(i, j - 1, k + nk^2 N(i - 1, j - 1, 2k^2))\}, N(i, j - 1, k + kG))\}.$

Then, if there is a common solution $X = (x_i)$ *of*

(1) $$AX = B, \quad and$$

(2) $$c_{ij}x_i x_j = 0 \quad (1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n),$$

where $\|X\| > 0$ *and each* $x_i \in Z'$, *then there is such a solution* $Y = (y_i)$,
where (a) $0 < \|Y\| \leqslant N(m, n, b)$, *and* (b) $0 \leqslant y_i \leqslant x_i$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$.

PROOF. Since any solution $Y = (y_i)$ of (1) above which satisfies part (b) of the conclusion also satisfies (2), will not be mentioned in the remainder of the proof.

If $n = 1$, there is an integer i so that $a_{i1} \neq 0$. Thus $a_{i1}x_1 = b_i$ implies that $x_1 = k_1 | \leqslant |b_i| \leqslant \|B\| \leqslant b$.

Now suppose $m = 1$, $n > 1$, and the theorem is valid for all cases $1, \ldots,$ $n - 1$. Let $Y = (y_i)$ denote a solution of (1) where $\Sigma_{i=1}^n y_i$ is a minimum for all solutions Y, where $\|Y\| > 0$, and each $y_p \leqslant x_p$. If all a_{1i}'s are of the same sign, then $\Sigma_{p=1}^n |a_{1p}|y_p = |b_1|$ so each $y_p \leqslant |b_1| \leqslant b$. If two a_{1i}'s are of opposite sign let a_{1i} and a_{1j} be of opposite sign. Either $y_i \leqslant |a_{1j}|$ or $y_j \leqslant |a_{1i}|$; for if not, in Y replace y_i by $y_i - |a_{1j}|$ and y_j by $y_j - |a_{1i}|$, and contradict the minimum condition above. Suppose then that $y_i \leqslant |a_{1j}| \leqslant b$, and consider equation

(3) $a_{11}y_1 + \ldots + a_{1,i-1}y_{i-1} + a_{1,i+1}y_{i+1} + \ldots + a_{1n}y_n = b_1 - a_{1i}y_i.$

By the induction hypothesis, considering for the moment that in (3) the y_p's $(p \neq i)$ as variable and y_i as fixed, there is a solution $Z = (z_p), p \neq i$, such that (a) $0 \leqslant z_p \leqslant y_p, p \neq i$, and (b) $\sup \{z_p\} \leqslant N(1, n - 1, b + b^2)$. Since $y_i \leqslant b$, then $(z_1, \ldots, z_{i-1}, y_i, z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_n)$ is the desired solution.

Before the general inductive step, a definition and lemma are necessary.

DEFINITION. Let $S(A, B)$ denote the set of all solutions $X = (x_i)$ of $AX = B$ so that each $x_i \in Z'$ and $\|X\| > 0$, and let $H(A)$ denote the set of all solutions $X = (x_i)$ of $AX = 0$ (where 0 is m by 1 if A is m by n) so that each $x_i \in Z'$ and $\|X\| > 0$. Let $H_1(A)$ denote the set of all $X = (x_i)$ in $H(A)$ so that if $X' = (x_i')$ is in $H(A)$ and $x_i - x_i' \geqslant 0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, then $X = X'$. Let $S'(A, B)$ denote the set of all X in $S(A, B)$ so that if $Y \in H_1(A)$ then $X - Y \notin S(A, B)$.

LEMMA 1. *If* $X = (x_i)$ *is in* $S(A, B)$ $(A, B$ *as in* (3) *above), then there exist* $C = (c_i)$ *in* $S'(A, B)$, *elements* $R_j = (r_{ji})$ *of* $H_1(A)$ $(j = 1, \dots, x)$, *and positive integers* $a_1, \dots, a_x$ *such that*

(4) $$X = C + a_1 R_1 + \dots + a_x R_x.$$

PROOF. The idea of the proof is to keep subtracting elements of $H_1(A)$ from X as long as what remains is in $S(A, B)$, and then collect like terms.

Now suppose $m > 1$, $n > 1$ and that the theorem has been found valid for all cases (i, j, b) where $i < m$ and $j \leqslant n$ or $i \leqslant m$ and $j < n$.

Now let $Y = (y_i)$ denote a solution of (1) in $S(A, B)$ so that (1) $\|Y\|$ is a minimum M, and (2) given M, the number of coordinates y_i for which $y_i = M$ is also a minimum. Assume for example that $y_1 = \min \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$.

First note that if the sum of the coefficients in each row of A is zero then the problem may be solved by rewriting (1) as

$$a_{11}(x_1 - x_v) + a_{12}(x_2 - x_v) + \dots + a_{1n}(x_n - x_v) = b_1$$

(5) $$\vdots$$

$$a_{m1}(x_1 - x_v) + a_{m2}(x_2 - x_v) + \dots + a_{mn}(x_n - x_v) = b_m$$

where $x_v = \min \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Noting that $x_v - x_v = 0$, and assuming that each $x_i - x_v$ $(i \neq v)$ is a variable for the moment, by use of the induction hypothesis there is a solution $W = (w_i)$ of (5) so that each $x_i - x_v \geqslant w_i \geqslant 0$ and

(5') $$\sup \{w_i\} \leqslant N(m, n - 1, b).$$

Therefore, assume the sum of the coefficients in some row is not zero.

Now assume, for example, that $a_{11} \neq 0$ and derive from $AY = B$ equations

(6) $$a_{11}y_1 = b_1 - (a_{12}y_2 + \dots + a_{1n}y_n),$$

$$(a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12})y_2 + \dots + (a_{11}a_{2n} - a_{21}a_{1n})y_n = a_{11}b_2 - a_{21}b_1$$

(7) $$\vdots$$

$$(a_{11}a_{m2} - a_{m1}a_{12})y_2 + \dots + (a_{11}a_{mn} - a_{m1}a_{1n})y_n = a_{11}b_m - a_{m1}b_1.$$

Let (7) be written as a matrix equation

(8) $$A'Y' = B'.$$

By Lemma 1 and the induction hypothesis

(9) $$Y' = C + a_1 R_1 + \ldots + a_x R_x$$

where (1) $C = (c_i)$, $i = 2, \ldots, n$, is in $S'(A', B')$, (2) $R_1, \ldots, R_x$ are distinct elements of $H_1(A')$ such that $R_p = (r_{pi})$, $i = 2, \ldots, n$, (3) sup $\{\|C\|, \|R_1\|, \ldots, \|R_x\|, x/(m-1)\} \leqslant N(m-1, n-1, 2b^2)$ and (4) each a_p is a positive integer.

Now suppose for the moment that $y_i = $ sup $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ and every R_p $(1 \leqslant p \leqslant x)$ for which $r_{pi} > 0$ is such that $a_p \leqslant |a_{11}|$. Then $y_i \leqslant (1 + x|a_{11}|)N(m-1, n-1, 2b^2)$ or

(10) $$y_i \leqslant (1 + bN^{m-1}(m-1, n-1, 2b^2))N(m-1, n-1, 2b^2).$$

Now suppose that $y_i = \sup\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ and, for example, that $r_{1i} > 0$ and $a_1 > |a_{11}|$. Thus, replace a_1 by $a_1 - |a_{11}|$ to obtain a new element $Y'' = (y_p'')$, $p = 2, \ldots, n$, of $S(A', B')$ and note that $Z'' = (y_1'', y_2'', \ldots, y_n'')$ is a solution of (6) where $y_1'' = y_1 + (a_{12}r_{12} + \ldots + a_{1n}r_{1n})$, where $+$ is used if $a_{11} > 0$, and $-$ is used if $a_{11} < 0$.

There are three cases to consider.

Case 1: $0 \leqslant y_1'' < y_i$. In this case Z'' is a solution of $AX = B$ such that (1) sup $\{y_p''\} = y_i$ and the number of elements taking on the maximum value is less than the number of Y or (2) sup $\{y_p''\} < y_i$. Either case leads to a contradiction.

Case 2: $y_i \leqslant y_1''$. Pick an integer q so that the qth row of A does not sum to zero.

(11) $$\left| \sum_{p=1}^{n} a_{qp}(y_p - y_1) \right| \leqslant \sum_{p=1}^{n} |a_{qp}(y_p - y_1)|,$$

(12) $$\left| b_q - \sum_{p=1}^{n} a_{qp}y_1 \right| \leqslant \sum_{p=1}^{n} |a_{qp}| \cdot |y_p - y_1|,$$

(13) $$\left| \sum_{p=1}^{n} a_{qp} \right| y_1 \leqslant \sum_{p=1}^{n} |a_{qp}| \cdot |y_p - y_1| + |b_q|,$$

(14) $$y_1 \leqslant \sum_{p=1}^{n} |a_{qp}| \cdot |y_p - y_1| + |b_q|,$$

(15) $$y_1'' \leqslant \left(1 + \sum_{p=1}^{n} |a_{qp}|\right)|a_{12}r_{12} + \ldots + a_{1n}r_{1n}| + |b_q|,$$

(16) $$y_i \leqslant y_1'' \leqslant (1 + nb)(nbN(m-1, n-1, 2b^2)) + b.$$

Case 3: $y_1'' < 0$. Thus $y_1 \leqslant |a_{12}r_{12} + \ldots + a_{1n}r_{1n}|$, or

(17) $$y_1 \leqslant nbN(m-1, n-1, 2b^2).$$

Then by considering

$$a_{12}y_2 + \ldots + a_{1n}y_n = b_1 - a_{11}y_1$$

(18) $$\vdots$$

$$a_{m2}y_2 + \ldots + a_{mn}y_n = b_m - a_{m1}y_1$$

and assuming for the moment that $y_2, \ldots, y_n$ are variable, then application of the induction hypothesis to (18) yields a solution $w_2, \ldots, w_n$ so that $0 \leqslant w_p \leqslant y_p, p = 2, \ldots, n$, and

(19) $$\sup(y_p) = \sup w_p \leqslant N(m, n-1, b + b(nbN(m-1, n-1, 2b^2))).$$

It has now been established that there is a solution $Y = (y_i)$ of (1) so that $\sup(y_i)$ is less than the $\sup G$ of the bounds established in (5′), (10), (16), and (19).

Returning to the original problem in the case (m, n, b) let $Y = (y_i) \in S(A, B)$ so that (1) $y_i \leqslant x_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$, and (2) $\|Y\|$ is a minimum for all Y's satisfying (1). Let $W = (w_i) \in S(A, B)$ be a solution satisfying $\|W\| \leqslant G$. If $w_i \leqslant y_i$ for all i the bound is established, so suppose $y_1 < w_1$, for example. Then $y_1 < G$, so consider (18) where $y_2, \ldots, y_n$ are assumed variable and apply the induction hypothesis to obtain a solution $Y' = (y_i'), i = 2, \ldots, n$, so that $0 \leqslant y_i' \leqslant y_i, i = 2, \ldots, n$, and $\sup\{y_p'\} \leqslant N(m, n-1, b + bG)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1, since $y_1, y_2', \ldots, y_n'$ is the desired solution.

6. **Normal surfaces.** The purpose of this section is to give a brief description of the results of the normalization process described in [3], [7].

Let M be a compact 3-manifold with boundary $\text{Bd}(M)$ and having triangulation T. Let T' be a cellular decomposition of M where 3-simplexes are polyhedral 3-cells such that (1) the 0-simplexes of T are contained in a family $B \subset T'$ of mutually exclusive "ball" neighborhoods, (2) the parts of the 1-simplexes of T not contained in $\bigcup B$ are contained in a family $A \subset T'$ of mutually exclusive "bar" neighborhoods, (3) the pairs of the 2-simplexes of T not contained in $\bigcup [A \cup B]$ are open 2-cells contained in a family $F \subset T'$ of mutually exclusive "flag" neighborhoods, and (4) the closures of components of $M - \bigcup[A \cup B \cup F]$ are a family $R \subset T'$ of mutually exclusive "remainder space" neighborhoods. Also assume $\bigcup A, \bigcup(A \cup B), \bigcup(A \cup B \cup F)$ are, respectively, closed neighborhoods of the 0-, 1-, and 2-skeleton of T, and that if two cells

x, y of T' intersect then $x \cap y \in T'$, i.e. faces of lower dimension are included in T'.

If $M \subset E^3$ and the elements of T are rectilinear than we may require that the elements of $F \cup R$ be convex, and those of A, B be intersections of convex sets with M. Following Waldhausen [10], for each $a \in A$ and each $f \in F$ let a and f be given product structures $D_a \times I_a$ and $D_f \times I_f$, respectively, such that (1) the disks of the form $D_a \times 0$ and $D_a \times 1$ are where a intersects the two adjoining balls, respectively, and (2) if a is determined by xy and f by xyz then an arc of the form $a \cap (D_f \times t)$ is also of the form $p \times [0, 1], p \in D_a$.

On the boundary of a ball b a set of the form $a \cap b, a \in A$, is called an island; a set of the form $b \cap f, f \in F$, is called a bridge; and a set of the form $b \cap r, r \in R$, is called a lake.

Now let S be a connected 2-manifold with boundary which is piecewise linearly embedded in M so that if Bd(S) is not void, then Bd(S) $\subset$ Bd(M).

Now select some property P of the surface. The property P of interest to the author is that "S is an incompressible orientable connected 2-manifold with boundary the fixed simple closed curve X which is a subset of the 1-skeleton of T." Another property suggested by Schubert in [7] is that "S is a 2-sphere in M which does not bound a cell in M." We now describe the applicable steps of the normalization process for S as they are given in Schubert [7].

Step 1. By isotopic deformations of S, holding Bd(S) fixed, lift $S -$ Bd(S) off Bd(M) so that $S \cap$ Bd(M) = Bd(S).

Step 2. Push S out of the elements of R. (Unless the word "cut" is used from now on such words as push, pull, etc. will be understood to be movements of S by a homeomorphism of the space.)

Step 3. Bd(S) is deformed isotopically out of those pieces $f \cap$ Bd(M), $f \in F$. This isotopy is extended to an isotopy of S so that S still intersects no element of R.

Step 4. S is "pulled tight" in the flags by an isotopy which holds Bd(S) fixed, but so that if $f \in F$ then a component of $S \cap f$ is of the form $D_f \times t$.

Step 5. Bd(S) is isotopically moved on Bd(M) so that if x is an element of A (respectively, B) and y is a component of $S \cap$ Int($x \cap$ Bd(M)), then y is a segment of an arc with endpoints in different elements of B (respectively, A). The deformation of Bd(S) is extended to one of S. The preceding is done so that if $a \in A$, $b \in B$, and x is an arc of the form $a \cap b \cap$ Bd(M), then Bd(S) crosses x on Bd(M) at any point they have in common.

Step 6. S is pulled tight in the bars so that if $a \in A$ then a component of $S \cap a$ is of the form $y \times [0, 1]$, where y is a simple closed curve in Int(D_a), or y is an arc lying in Int(D_a) except for its endpoints, which lie on Bd(D_a).

Step 7. If there exists $b \in B$ such that some component of $S \cap b$ is not

a 2-cell, then there is such a component C such that there is a simple closed curve J component of $C \cap \mathrm{Bd}(b)$ and a disk D on $\mathrm{Bd}(b)$ such that (1) $J = \mathrm{Bd}(D)$ and (2) if J' is a component of $S \cap \mathrm{Int}(D)$ then J' bounds a disk D' on S, where $\mathrm{Int}(D') \subset b$. S is now cut open along a simple closed curve J_1 on C, where $J \cup J_1$ bounds an annulus on C, and then the two copies of J_1 are capped by disks whose interiors lie in $\mathrm{Int}(b)$, avoid each other, and avoid S.

In general, whether or not S is cut into two pieces by this operation, one must check to see if one of the remaining components has property P. If not, the normalization has failed. Note that in the two examples described above normalization does not fail. The process is continued until for all $b \in B$, each component of $b \cap S$ is a 2-cell lying except for its boundary in $\mathrm{Int}(b)$.

Step 8. Suppose $b \in B$, r is a component of $b \cap \mathrm{Bd}(M)$, and $a \in A$, with $i = a \cap b$ and $a \cap \mathrm{Bd}(M)$ both nonvoid. If there is a component c of $S \cap \mathrm{Bd}(b) \cap \mathrm{Int}(i)$ so that both endpoints of c lie on r, then by cut and paste operations such components c will be removed. Such a c is selected so that the endpoints x and y of c on r subtend an arc xzy on $\mathrm{Bd}(i)$ so that $\mathrm{seg}(xzy)$ lies on $\mathrm{Bd}(r)$ and contains no endpoints of any other c. Let g be the disk on i bounded by $c \cup xzy$.

S is now cut open on c and capped with disjoint disks g', g'' one lying close to g in $\mathrm{Int}(b)$ except for an arc on $b \cap \mathrm{Bd}(M)$ and the other lying close to g in $\mathrm{Int}(a)$, except for an arc on $a \cap \mathrm{Bd}(M)$. Thus $S \cap \mathrm{Bd}(b)$ has one less component having the properties of c.

If the new surface S' is still connected we must check to see if S' still has property P. If not, the normalization fails. If S' has two components S_1 and S_2, then one of these has property P or the normalization fails. In the first case $\chi(S) < \chi(S')$ and in the second case $\chi(S) \leqslant \chi(S_p)$ for $p = 1, 2$.

Assuming the normalization process does not fail, all components of type c are removed, and the normalization process is repeated from Step 5 on as often as needed until the steps are meaningless for 1 through 8.

Step 9. Now suppose $b \in B$ and there is a component of $S \cap b$ whose bondary runs more than once across some bridge y. Then, there is a component C of $S \cap b$ such that (1) there are two arcs w_1, w_2 of $\mathrm{Bd}(C)$ so that both w_1 and w_2 run across y but (2) no point of S lies between them on y. There exists $f \in F$ and disks of the form $D_i = D_f \times t_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that (1) $w_i \subset D_i \subset S$ ($i = 1, 2$) and (2) $f \cap b = y$. There is a disk D on C such that $D \cap \mathrm{Bd}(C) = w_1 \cup w_2$, and a disk D' so that (1) $D' \subset b$, (2) $\mathrm{Bd}(D')$ is the union of an arc pqr on D and an arc $pq'r$ on y where $p \in \mathrm{seg}\, w_1$, $r \in \mathrm{seg}\, w_2$, $\mathrm{seg}\, pqr \subset \mathrm{Int}(D)$, and $pq'r \cap w_1 = p$ and $pq'r \cap w_2 = r$, and (3) $D' \cap S = pqr$. S is now pushed into f along D' and then D_1 and D_2 are pushed out of f into the adjoining balls and bars.

Now, starting with Step 6, the process is repeated as long as needed until Step 9 is no longer needed. If property P is retained at each step, then the normalization is completed. A surface for which all the steps are unnecessary is called a normal surface.

Two normal surfaces are regarded as similar if they are isotopic under a map which moves no point out of the cell of Σ' (dimensions 1, 2, 3) to which it belongs. Such an isotopy is called inessential.

7. Extended normal equations. Clearly a normal surface S is defined up to inessential isotopy by its intersections with the boundaries of the balls. Such an intersection of S with the boundary of a ball b has components which are simple closed curves J such that if x is an island on b and y is a bridge on b and z and z' are disjoint arcs on J, then (1) if z is a component of $J \cap x$ then seg$z \subset \mathrm{Int}(x)$ and the endpoints of z are not on y, (2) J is not a subset of y, but if z is a component of $J \cap y$ then z runs between the two islands at the ends of y, and $z' \subset \mathrm{Bd}(b) - y$, and (3) J is not a subset of x, but is a subset of the interior of the union of the islands and bridges on b. A simple closed curve J' on Bd(b) which is equivalent to J under inessential isotopy is said to belong to the same *cut type*. The set of all cut type classes is labeled $R_1, R_2, \cdots, R_n$ where b ranges over B.

Now suppose $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $k \in R_p$ $(1 \leqslant p \leqslant n)$, $k \subset b$, and arc xy is a component of $k \cap a \cap b$. The *bow type* of xy is the set of all $x'y'$ on b which are equivalent to xy under inessential isotopy. The bow type classes are labeled $k_1, \cdots, k_m$ where a ranges over A, b over B and k over all R_p's. In [3], [7] the bow type k_j is said to be contained in cut type R_i if a curve in R_i contains an arc in k_j. Define $a_{ji} = 1$ if bow type k_j is contained in cut type R_i and let $a_{ji} = 0$ otherwise.

Let $a \in A$ and let b_0, b_1 be the balls at the ends of a. Let the elements of k_i lie in $a \cap b_0$ and those of k_j lie in $a \cap b_1$. If there exists $z \in k_i$ such that $y = b_1 \cap z \times [0, 1] \in k_j$ then define $b_{ij} = 1$ and otherwise let $b_{ij} = 0$. If $b_{ij} = 1$ then k_i and k_j are said to be coupled.

Also starting with the notation of the previous paragraph, if for each $z \in k_i$ the set $y = b_1 \cap (z \times [0, 1])$ intersects each $x \in k_j$ then define $c_{ij} = 1$. Otherwise, define $c_{ij} = 0$. Professor Haken indicated to the author that he calls k_i and k_j compatible if $c_{ij} = 0$.

We now state some equations satisfied by the surface S. Let x_i denote the number of times cut type R_i occurs on S. One condition given in [3], [7] is

$$(20) \qquad b_{jh} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_{ji} - a_{hi}) x_i = 0 \qquad (1 \leqslant j, h \leqslant m),$$

for each pair of coupled bow types k_j, k_h. Also we have

(21) $c_{jh}a_{ju}a_{hv}x_u x_v = 0$ $(1 \leqslant j, h \leqslant m; 1 \leqslant u, v \leqslant n)$.

   In the case of interest to the author where $\mathrm{Bd}(S)$ is a fixed simple closed curve on $\mathrm{Bd}(M)$, then certain cut types may be specified in advance to occur exactly once. This may be stated as

(22) $x_{p_i} = 1,$ $i = 1, \ldots, k.$

   Now for each $c \in R_i$ let G_i^c denote $c \cap [(\bigcup A) \cap ((\bigcup F) \cup \mathrm{Bd}(M))]$ and let $n_i = \mathrm{card} G_i^c$ for some c in R_i. The surface S is now triangulated (not necessarily rectilinearly) such that (1) all the 0-simplexes lie in $\bigcup G_i^c$, (2) if k is a 2-cell on S bounded by $J \in R_i$ then k is subdivided into $n_i - 2$ 2-simplexes, (3) if C is a component of $S \cap a, a \in A$, then C is subdivided into two 2-simplexes, and (4) if C is a component $S \cap f, f \in F$, then C is subdivided into 4 2-simplexes. The Euler characteristic χ of S is now computed with the aid of the following equations.

   The number of components of the form $S \cap b, b \in B$, is $d = \Sigma_{i=1}^n x_j$. The number of components from sets of the form $a \cap S$ is $b = \Sigma_1^n \frac{1}{4} x_j n_j$ since each such component contains four of the 0-simplexes. The number of components from sets of the form $S \cap f, f \in F$, is $f' = (2b - k)/3$, where k is defined in (22). Under the triangulation described above the number of 0-simplexes is

(23) $V_1 = \sum_1^n x_j n_j.$

The number of 1-simplexes is

(24) $S_1 = \sum_1^n (2n_j - 3)x_j + 3 \sum_1^n \frac{1}{4} n_j x_j + 3f'.$

The number of 2-simplexes is

(25) $F_1 = \sum_1^n (n_j - 2)x_j + 2b + 4f'$

and the Euler characteristic $\chi = V_1 + F_1 - S_1$ is described by

(26) $12 \chi = -4k + \sum_1^n (12 - n_j)x_j.$

   The extended set of normal equations for S then consists of (20), (21), (22) and (26). Now suppose we consider the set of equations as a defining system for such an S. If a nontrivial solution $X = (x_i)$ is found for the system, then it is not hard to verify that a surface S' may be built which (1) is in normal position, (2) has the same boundary as S, (3) has the same Euler characteristic as S, and (4) has the prop-

erty x_i is the number of times cut type R_i occurs on S'. The only problem here
is that connectivity may be lost, as can be seen by simple examples. Also remember
that the set of equations (20), (21), (22), (26) given here is that of interest to the
author. If the reader is interested in some other property P, then he would proba-
bly have to derive a different set of equations.

8. The number of simplexes used in certain surfaces. In this section the re-
sults of §§5, 6, and 7 are combined to show the existence of triangulated surfaces
of a certain "size" provided surfaces of that type exist at all.

Before we proceed we need to make some more definitions. In E^3 let M be
a compact triangulated 3-manifold with boundary and having rectilinear triangula-
tion T. We wish to define a specific cellular decomposition $T'(M)$.

Let e_1 denote a positive number less than $1/10$ the distance between any
two disjoint simplexes of T and let B_1 denote $\{b$: there is a 0-simplex v of T
and $b = \{x \in M: d(v, x) \leqslant e_1\}\}$. Let e_2 be a positive number less than $e_1/10$ and
such that if x and y belong to disjoint 1-simplexes of T but to no element of B_1,
then $d(x, y) > 10e_2$. Let $C_1 = \{x: x \in M$ and x lies within e_2 of a point of 1-
skeleton of $T\}$ and let A_1 denote the set of all closures of components of $C_1 -$
$(\bigcup B_1)$. Let e_3 be a positive number less than $e_2/10$ such that if x, y belong to
disjoint 2-simplexes of T but not to $\bigcup(A_1 \cup B_1)$, then $d(x, y) > 10e_3$, and let C_2
denote $\{x: x \in M$ and x lies within e_3 of the 2-skeleton of $M\}$. Let F_1 denote
the set of all closures of components of $C_2 - (\bigcup(A_1 \cup B_1))$. Let R_1 denote the
set of all closures of components of $M - (\bigcup(A_1 \cup B_1 \cup F_1))$.

Given $x \in B_1$ let v_x denote $\{y: y \in x$ and y belongs to a set of the form
$a \cap x \cap \mathrm{Bd}(f)$ $(a \in A_1$ and $f \in F_1)$, or $\mathrm{Bd}(a \cup x \cup f) \cap T_0 \cap \mathrm{Bd}(M)$, or $s \cap P\}$
where $s \in T_1$ and there exists $a \in A$ such that P is the plane containing
$\mathrm{Bd}(a \cap x) - \mathrm{Bd}(M)$, and s determines a.

For each $a \in A_1$ let b_1, b_2 denote the elements of B_1 intersecting a. Let
v_a denote $\{y: y \in a \cap (v_{b_1} \cup v_{b_2})$ or (if the 1-simplex s which determines a
is on $\mathrm{Bd}(M))$ $y \in s \cap P \cap v_{b_i}$ $(i = 1, 2))\}$, where P is as above.

Define A to be $\{c(a) \cap M: c(a)$ is the convex hull of v_a for some $a \in A_1\}$.
Likewise define B. Define F to be the set of all closures of components of $C_2 -$
$(\bigcup(A \cup B))$, and define R to be the set of all closures of components of $M -$
$(\bigcup(A \cup B \cup F))$. Define $R_1, \cdots, R_n$ as in §7.

THEOREM 9. *In E^3 let M be a compact 3-manifold with boundary and having
rectilinear triangulation T. Let $T' = T'(M)$ be as in the previous definition.
Let S be a connected, incompressible, orientable 2-manifold with boundary such
that $S \cap \mathrm{Bd}(M) = \mathrm{Bd}(S)$, where $\mathrm{Bd}(S)$ is a simple closed curve which is the
union of 1-simplexes of T. Let S have Euler characteristic χ and let $v = the*

number of 0-simplexes of T. *Then, there is a 2-manifold with boundary* S' *such that* (1) $S' \cap \text{Bd}(M) = \text{Bd}(S') = \text{Bd}(S)$, $S' \subset M$, *and the Euler characteristic of* S' *is* χ, (2) S' *is in normal position relative to* T', *and* (3) *there is a vector* $Y = (y_i)$ *such that* (a) y_i *denotes the number of times cut type* R_i *occurs on* S, *and* (b) $\sup \{y_i\} \leqslant N(m', n', \sup \{x, y\})$ *where* $m' \leqslant \binom{v}{2} m^2 n + v + 1$, $n' \leqslant v!$, $x = 12 + 4\binom{v}{3}$, $y = 12\chi + 4v + 1$, $m \leqslant 2\binom{v}{2} [\binom{v}{3}(\binom{v}{3}) - 1)]$, $n \leqslant v!$, *where* m *is the number of possible bow types and* n *the number of possible cut types.*

PROOF. First note that since S is incompressible the steps for normalization may all be replaced by isotopic deformations so we may as well assume that S satisfies a matrix equation $A'X = B'$ where the matrices are formed using (20), (22) and (26).

If $n(T_p)$ ($p = 0, 1, 2, 3$) denotes the number of p-simplexes of T, then $n(T_p) \leqslant \binom{v}{p+1}$, $p = 1, 2, 3$. At a given 0-simplex q of T, if $b \in B$ and $q \in b$ then the number of cut types on $\text{Bd}(b)$ is at most $(v - 1)!$. Thus, the number n of cut types is no more than $v!$.

If $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ then the number of bow types on $a \cap b$ is $\leqslant \binom{v}{3}(\binom{v}{3}) - 1)$. Since this bound must be considered at most twice on one 1-simplex, the number n of bow types is $\leqslant 2\binom{v}{2} [\binom{v}{3}(\binom{v}{3}) - 1)]$.

Also the number of a_{ji} is mn, and the number of b_{jh} is $\leqslant \binom{v}{2} m^2$. Also each n_j is $\leqslant 4\binom{v}{3}$.

Therefore the system of equations (20), (22), (26) as applied to $M, \text{Bd}(S)$, χ has the property that if it is thought of as a single system as in equation (1), where A is m' by n' (since m, n are used previously), then $\sup \{|a_{ij}|\} \leqslant x = 12 + 4\binom{v}{3}$ and $\sup \{|b_i|\} \leqslant 12\chi + 4v + 1 = y$. Also $m' \leqslant \binom{v}{2} m^2 n + v + 1$ and $n' \leqslant v!$. Therefore there is a solution $Y = (y_i)$ where

$$\sup \{y_i\} \leqslant N(m', n', \sup \{x, y\})$$

and $y_i \leqslant x_i$ for each i. The surface S' is then built using the indicated cut types, then filling in the bars and the flags.

THEOREM 10. *In Theorem 9 the surface* S' *may be chosen to have a rectilinear triangulation with at most* $(26/3)v! \binom{v}{2} N(m', n', \sup \{x, y\})$ *2-simplexes.*

PROOF. S' may be formed so that (1) each disk which is a component of $S' \cap b$, $b \in B$, and determined by cut type R_j may be triangulated with $\leqslant n_j$ 2-simplexes, where n_j is defined in §7, (2) each disk which is a component of $A \cap S'$, $a \in A$, may be triangulated with two 2-simplexes, and (3) each component of $S' \cap f$, $f \in F$, may be triangulated with four 2-simplexes. Therefore the

total number of 2-simplexes used is less than or equal to

$$\sum_1^n n_j x_j + 2 \sum_1^n \frac{x_j n_j}{4} + 4 \; \frac{2 \, \Sigma_1^n \, \frac{1}{4} x_j n_j - k}{3}$$

$$\leqslant \sum_1^n \frac{13}{6} n_j x_j \leqslant \frac{26}{3} v! \binom{v}{3} N(m', n', \sup\{x, y\}).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 10.

REFERENCES

1. R. H. Bing, *An alternative proof that 3-manifolds can be triangulated*, Ann. of Math. (2) 69 (1959), 37−65. MR 20 #7269.

2. R. H. Crowell and R. H. Fox, *Introduction to knot theory*, Ginn, Boston, Mass., 1963. MR 26 #4348.

3. W. Haken, *Theorie der Normalflächen*, Acta Math. 105 (1961), 245−375. MR 25 #4519a.

4. E. E. Moise, *Affine structures in 3-manifolds.* II. *Positional properties of 2-spheres,* Ann. of Math. (2) 55 (1952), 172−176. MR 13, 574.

5. D. E. Sanderson, *Isotopy in 3-manifolds.* I. *Isotopic deformations of 2-cells and 3-cells,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 912−922. MR 19, 760.

6. ———, *Isotopy in 3-manifolds.* II. *Fitting homeomorphisms by isotopy*, Duke Math. J. 26 (1959), 387−396. MR 21 #5956.

7. H. Schubert, *Bestimmung der Primfaktorzerlegung von Verkettungen*, Math. Z. 76 (1961), 116−148. MR 25 #4519b.

8. M. K. Fort, Jr. (Editor), *Topology of 3-manifolds and related topics*, Proc. Univ. of Georgia Inst. (1961), Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962. MR 25 #4498.

9. L. B. Treybig, *Concerning a bound problem in knot theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 158 (1971), 423−436. MR 43 #4019.

10. F. Waldhausen, *Irreducible 3-manifolds which are sufficiently large*, Ann. of Math. (2) 87 (1968), 56−88. MR 36 #7146.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843